

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office address (Commission New OF PATENT) AND TRADEMARKS Washington 10° 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 681,907	06 22 2001	Alfredo J. Teran	1321.28	3508
21901	7590 06 17 2002			
SMITH & HOPEN PA 15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220			EXAMINER	
			LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
CLEARWATER, FL 33760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1724	e
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002	₹.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09 /68/907	Applicant(s) leight etal				
Office Action Summary	Examiner /	Group Art Unit				
-	Examiner	Group Art Unit / / 7 2 /				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-						
Period for Reply	3					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	y within the statutory minin	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication .				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
a This action is FINAL.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935						
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s) /- / 5 Claim(s) /- / 5	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und	or 25 11 C C & 11 O(a)	(d)				
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	e priority documents h	ave been				
received in this national stage application from the Interr	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:		·				
Attachment(s)	~					
XInformation Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(nterview Summary, PTO-413					
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other					
Office Action Summary						

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,907

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant's traversal is noted and the restriction is withdrawn. Action on the merits are as follows.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The preamble of the claims recites "rinse water" yet the body of the claim refers to "waste water". Clarification is requested.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Capehart (5,547,584).

Capehart ('584) discloser a process for ozonizing including a preseparator (8), a tank (10), and an ozone system 150 and recirculate lines 314, 303 which circulate water from tank (10) to the ozone system for contacting until the desired ORP is achieved (see col. 9, lines 2+).

Claims 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mannilo (5787537) in view of Capehart (3547584).

Application/Control Number: 09/681,907 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

Mannilo ('537) treats wastewater in which the wastewater is screened at 20, subjected to aeration flotation at 100, and then sent to a tank (210) which circulates water through an Ozone generator - treatment (see 230). The sterilized water is filtered and reused or discharged.

Mannilo's ozonation treatment is to oxidize organics from the water (col. 11, lines 60+)as is

Capeharts (col. 8, lines 62+). Capehart teaches that one may beneficially control the ozonation by measurity the ORP until a desired level is reached before continued processing of the water. To so modify Monnilo with such a benefit would result in a water product having less organicist therein. To so modify Mannilo would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow at telephone number (703) 308-0173.

Lithgow/LR

June 12, 2002

JAM JAM CHAS M. LITHGOW CHAMINER CHAMINER